

Discover Office 2007.

Buy it now →

msn Groups

Groups Home | My Groups | Language | Help

**Important Announcement**

The MSN Groups service will close in February 2009. You can move your group to Multipliy, MSN's partner for online groups. Learn More

**www. GrammaticalPhysics. ac**

GrammaticalPhysics@groups.msn.com

What's New

**Physical Logic : What is Physical Logic?**

Choose another message board

Join Now

## Message Boards

[General](#)[Definition of GP](#)[Problems from GP](#)[Products of GP](#)[Gram.Exp.Observ.](#)[Physical Logic](#)[Chronology](#)

Links

Tools

[Prev Discussion](#) [Next Discussion](#) [Send Replies to My Inbox](#)

<a href="#">Reply</a>	<a href="#">Recommend</a>	Message 1 of 4 in Discussion
From:  SourceCodeOf_HumanGenome (Original Message) Sent: 7/23/2008 6:16 PM		
<p>Physical logic is an attempt to base logic on physics. It starts with opposing the idea that logic stands independently of physics. At present, physical logic is not yet a completed theory but a theme to study.</p>		

[First](#) [Previous](#) 2-4 of 4 [Next](#) [Last](#)

<a href="#">Reply</a>	<a href="#">Recommend</a>	Message 2 of 4 in Discussion
From:  SourceCodeOf_HumanGenome Sent: 7/23/2008 6:49 PM		
<p>A physical theory is a composition of sentences. It varies when physics varies. If logic stands independently of physics, some part of a physical theory must never change no matter how physics changes. But, this does not seem real. So, perhaps logic depends on physics.</p>		

<a href="#">Reply</a>	<a href="#">Recommend</a>	Message 3 of 4 in Discussion
From:  SourceCodeOf_HumanGenome Sent: 7/23/2008 7:15 PM		
<p>I have heard that so-called quantum logic was already proposed as a newer logic than the ordinary logic. This can be thought of as an example of logic's varying with physics' varying if quantum logic approach succeeds. To study quantum logic and invent the next grammar beyond quantum logic is an interesting theme worth studying. But it belongs to grammatical physics. As physical logic, I want to think how the ordinary logic depends on the ordinary physics.</p>		

<a href="#">Reply</a>	<a href="#">Recommend</a>	Message 4 of 4 in Discussion

From:  SourceCodeOf\_HumanGenome

Sent: 7/23/2008 7:24 PM

The last part of the previous message gets better when it is corrected.

Wrong:

But it belongs to grammatical physics.

As physical logic, I want to think how the ordinary logic depends on the ordinary physics.

Corrected:

But it belongs not to physical logic but to grammatical physics.

As physical logic, I want to think how the ordinary logic depends on the ordinary experience.

◀ First ◀ Previous 2-4 of 4 Next ▶ Last ▶

◀◀ [Return to Physical Logic](#) ◀ [Prev Discussion](#) [Next Discussion](#) ▶▶  [Send Replies to My Inbox](#)

Notice: Microsoft has no responsibility for the content featured in this group. [Click here for more info.](#)

**Try MSN Internet Software for FREE!**

[MSN Home](#) | [My MSN](#) | [Hotmail](#) | [Search](#)

[Feedback](#) | [Help](#)

©2005 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. [Legal](#) [Advertise](#) [MSN Privacy](#)